

## Class 37: Higher-Order Procedures, Summarized

**Held:** Friday, 3 November 2006

**Summary:** Today we revisit some of the important behind-the-scenes issues that this week's readings covered.

### Related Pages:

- EBoard.
- Code examples from class

### Due

- Exam 2.

### Notes:

- EC: Drag Extravaganza Friday, Football Saturday.
- No reading for the weekend; exam to be returned Monday.
- HW for Tuesday!
- Since many of you did not understand the “Trogdor!” references, I’m going to try to show the background video. You can also read some background information.

### Overview:

- Background: Guiding Principles.
- Procedures as Parameters.
- Anonymous Procedures.
- Procedures as Return Values.
- Encapsulating Control.

## Background: Guiding Principles

- *Write less, not more*
- *Name appropriately*
  - Good names for things that need names
  - No names for things that don't
  - Example: Don't name the components in

```
(define hyp (lambda (a b) (sqrt (+ (* a a) (* b b)))))
```

- *Refactor*

## Procedures as Parameters

- First explored in the color-grid exercise.
- Useful
- Concise
- Supports refactoring
  
- Consider problem from exam. Some wrote
  - `(define count-smoking (lambda (s1 s2) (if (equal? (smokes? s1) (smokes s2)) 1 0)))`
  - `(define count-bedtime (lambda (s1 s2) (if (equal? (get-bedtime s1) (get-bedtime s2)) 1 0)))`
- Incredibly similar. Factor out the test
  - `(define count-attribute (lambda (get-attribute) (lambda (s1 s2) (if (equal? (get-attribute s1) (get-attribute s2)) 1 0))))`
- Now we can define the previous two in terms of this
  - `(define count-smoking (count-attribute smokes?))`
  - `(define count-bedtime (count-attribute get-bedtime))`
- In fact, we can even do without them
  - `(if (>= (+ ((count-attribute smokes?) s1 s2) ((count-attribute get-bedtime) s1 s2) ...) 3) ...)`

## Anonymous Procedures

- Sometimes we don't even need to bother to define procedures (just like we don't define the parts of a compound expression).
- Strategy: Just use `(lambda (params) body)`
- We call such procedures *anonymous*.

## Procedures as Return Values

- Another way to create procedures (anonymous and named).
- Strategy: Write procedures that return new procedures.
- These procedures can take plain values as parameters:

```
(define redder
  (lambda (amt)
    (lambda (color)
      (rgb ...))))
```

- How to think about this:
  - a procedure that takes *amt* as a parameter,
  - returns a new procedure that takes *color* as a parameter
- Can also take procedures as parameters
- One favorite: `compose`

```
(define compose
  (lambda (f g)
    (lambda (x)
      (f (g x)))))
```

- Examples
  - sine of square root of x: `(compose sin sqrt)`
  - last element of a list: `(compose car reverse)`
- Another: left-section

```
(define left-section
  (lambda (func left)
    (lambda (right)
      (func left right))))
(define l-s left-section)
```

- Examples:
  - add two: `(l-s + 2)`
  - double: `(l-s * 2)`
- Not mentioned in the reading, but there's a corresponding right-section

```
(define right-section
  (lambda (func right)
    (lambda (left)
      (func left right))))
(define r-s right-section)
```

- If we were confident with this procedure, we could use it in the exam

```
(define smokes? (r-s vector-ref 3))
```

## Encapsulating Control

- Possible for complex common code, too (particularly control).
- Sample: Whoops ... no one got problem 2 right. Perhaps I should just scale each grade by 4/3.

```
(define scale-grades
  (lambda (grades)
    (if (null? grades)
        null
        (cons (* 4/3 (car grades))
              (scale-grades (cdr grades))))))
(define fixed-grades (scale-grades original-grades))
```

- Another sample: Oh yeah, everyone gets seven points of extra credit

```
(define extra-credit
  (lambda (grades)
    (if (null? grades)
        null
        (cons (+ 7 (car grades))
              (extra-credit (cdr grades))))))
```

- A philosophy
  - The first time you read a new procedure structure (such as recursion over a list), you learn something.
  - The second time you read the same structure, you learn something else.
  - The third time, you learn a bit more.
  - After that, reading doesn't give much benefit.
  - The first time you write the same structure, you learn something more about that structure
  - The second time, you learn even more.
  - The third time, you learn a bit more.
  - After that, there's no benefit.
  - So ... extract the common code so you don't have to write it again.
  - And yes, you learn something
- Applied above:

```
(define map
  (lambda (fun lst)
    (if (null? lst)
        null
        (cons (fun (car lst))
              (map fun (cdr lst))))))
```

- Rewriting ...

```
(define scale-grades
  (lambda (grades)
    (map (lambda (grade) (* 4/3 grade)) grades)))
(define extra-credit
  (lambda (grades)
    (map (lambda (grade) (+ 7 grade)) grades)))
```

- We can simplify the lambda with l-s

```
(define scale-grades
  (lambda (grades)
    (map (l-s * 4/3) grades)))
(define extra-credit
  (lambda (grades)
    (map (l-s + 7) grades)))
```

- Or even more concisely
  - (define scale-grades (l-s map (l-s \* 4/3)))
  - (define extra-credit (l-s map (l-s + 2)))

- Another issue: Checking the type of elements in a list

```
(define list-of-numbers?
  (lambda (lst)
    (or (null? lst)
        (and (pair? lst)
              (real? (car lst))
              (list-of-numbers? (cdr lst))))))
(define list-of-symbols?
```

```
(lambda (lst)
  (or (null? lst)
      (and (pair? lst)
            (symbol? (car lst))
            (list-of-symbols? (cdr lst))))))
```

- Common code

```
(define list-of?
  (lambda (test? lst)
    (or (null? lst)
        (and (pair? lst)
              (test? (car lst))
              (list-of? test? (cdr lst))))))
```

- Useful on the exam:

```
(define valid-form?
  (lambda (val) (and (pair? val) (string? (car val)) (integer? (cdr val)))))
(define all-valid?
  (lambda (lst) (list-of? valid-form? lst)))
```

- Or

```
(define all-valid? (l-s list-of? valid-form?))
```

Or

```
(define all-valid? (l-s list-of? (lambda (val) (and (pair? val) (string? (car val)) (integer? (cdr val)))))
```

- Yes, skilled Scheme programmers write this way.

- It's quick.
  - It's clear (at least to skilled Schemers).
  - It reduces mistakes.
- Such control The ability to encapsulate control in this way is fairly unique to Scheme,
  - It's one of the reasons we love it at Grinnell.

---

Copyright © 2006 Samuel A. Rebelsky. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 2.5 License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.5/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 543 Howard Street, 5th Floor, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.