Assigned: Tuesday 8 September

Due: Monday 14 September, 11:59 p.m.

Objectives:

- Understand details of uninformed search algorithm implementations
- Explore performance implications of various search algorithms
- Practice reading and programming to specified interfaces
- Reinforce programming with higher-order procedures in Scheme
- Apply principles of good science writing

Collaboration:

- Problem 1 (programming) will be completed in pairs assigned by the instructor.
- Problem 2 (analysis) must be completed individually.

1 Introduction

In this assignment, you will complete a general framework for implementing a variety of uninformed search algorithms, measuring their efficiency and solution quality. While the textbook gives a graph search version of most specific search algorithm implementations, we will be using a general tree search algorithm, which may be found below.

Algorithm 1 General tree-search algorithm requiring a starting state for a problem as well as a method for organizing nodes in the frontier.

```plaintext
function Tree-Search(start, problem, Enqueue) returns a solution, or failure
    node ← Node-Init(start)
    if Goal(start) then return Solution(node)
    frontier ← Queue(node)
    do
        if Empty(frontier) then return failure
        node ← Pop(frontier)
        if Goal(problem, State(node)) then return Solution(node)
        frontier ← Enqueue(Expand(problem, node), frontier)
```

Function Expand(problem, node) returns a set of nodes

```plaintext
successors ← []
for each action in Actions(problem, State(node))
    if Contains(Path(node), Result(action, node)) then continue
    successors ← [ Child-Node(problem, node, action) | successors ]
```

Many search algorithms can be implemented using this general structure. The only difference between various search algorithms is in how they order (enqueue) nodes on the frontier. Thus the Enqueue parameter is actually a procedure that implements the ordering criterion to determine the precise search algorithm.

Note that the call to Contains ensures no state will be (wastefully) repeated within any given solution. Unfortunately, this is not the same as making sure no state is examined more than once anywhere in the search tree.
2 Code and environment

For this assignment, you will need to copy some starter code from the MathLAN directory:

~weinman/courses/CSC261/code/search

Note that Scheme is not all that intelligent about dealing with relative paths. Thus, any Scheme load commands that are issued will be relative to the working directory. You should not change the starter code’s load commands to use absolute paths, as this makes running your code more difficult for grading purposes.

2.1 General search

2.1.1 Search routines: search.scm

The file search.scm contains skeletons and specifications for many of the procedures you are to write. In order to promote code reuse, the search routines take a generic kind of value we’re calling a problem and operates on a node type. Both of these are described below.

2.1.2 Generic search problems: problem.scm

As our textbook explains, a generic search problem requires

- the ability to define a goal state,
- a method for generating successors (states that result from the actions available at a given state), and
- a cost for taking an action in a given state.

Thus, we can create a problem value by passing in these three elements to a procedure make-problem, which encapsulates them all. Once these elements are tied together, the general procedure problem-expand-node (akin to Expand above and already written for you) has everything needed to generate the list of potential actions and their resulting states. The accompanying 6-P documentation has further detail.

2.1.3 Representing search tree nodes: node.scm

To find the solution to a problem, it is important to keep track of various aspects of our search. We do this by means of a node type. As in AIMA Section 3.3.1, a node encapsulates

- an action,
- the state resulting from that action,
- the “parent” node preceding it in the search tree, and
- a path cost for taking the action from the parent.

We also add to this structure

- the (estimated) total cost of the solution through this node (to be used in the next assignment), and
- the depth of the node in the search tree.

All of this information is packaged together in type we call a node.

In addition, we will need to create a start node, the root of our search tree, using an initial state and any heuristic procedure for the problem; this is what the procedure node-init does. Note that the parent of the initial node is null.

Some of the searches (including the uniform-cost-search provided) may require you to sort the successor nodes and/or queue by some criterion. Thus a simple insertion sort has been provided for you in sort.scm.
2.2 Hex Turn Puzzle

In addition to the 8-puzzle sliding block problem described in AIMA Section 3.2.1, you will apply your search routines to hexagonal “maze” problem. Starting in the white center of a hex grid, you must find a path to the green goal. “Your path can only turn gently (or go straight) in a yellow hexagon, and can only turn sharply (or go straight) in an orange hexagon.”\(^1\) The number of rings determines the puzzle topology; at right are puzzles of size 1–4. Each tile is addressed by a row and column offset from the center, as shown in the largest example.

Your position \(p_t\) after \(t\) moves may be any of the valid tiles \((i, j)\) where \(i\) is the row and \(j\) is the column. You may move to adjacent, unvisited tiles, subject to the color constraints. Formally, the state at time \(t\) is \(s_t = (p_t, p_{t-1}, \ldots, p_0)\). We begin in the center tile, so \(p_0 = (0, 0)\). Each step has unit cost.

2.3 Summary

The following table lists function equivalencies between the pseudo-code and the provided Scheme code.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pseudo code</th>
<th>Scheme</th>
<th>File</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NODE-INIT</td>
<td>(node-init state heuristic)</td>
<td>node.scm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL</td>
<td>((problem-goal? problem) state)</td>
<td>problem.scm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPAND</td>
<td>(problem-expand-node problem node heuristic)</td>
<td>problem.scm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For now, we will ignore the heuristic parameter, which is used on the next assignment. For now, you can simply use

\[
\text{(lambda (state) 0)}
\]

as a basic state evaluation function that always returns zero.

The following example uses several searches to solve a 7 ring puzzle, comparing the solutions’ number of moves and nodes expanded.

\[
\text{(load "search.scm") (load "hexturn.scm")}
\]
\[
\text{(define num-rings 7)}
\]
\[
\text{(define start (random-hexturn-puzzle num-rings))}
\]
\[
\text{(define bfs-sol (breadth-first-search start (hexturn-problem num-rings)))}
\]
\[
\text{(define dfs-sol (depth-first-search start (hexturn-problem num-rings)))}
\]
\[
\text{(hexturn-board-print (hexturn-num-rings start) (hexturn-board start))}
\]
\[
\text{(display (list ’bfs (length (car bfs-sol)) (cadr bfs-solution))) (newline)}
\]
\[
\text{(display (list ’dfs (length (car dfs-sol)) (cadr dfs-sol))) (newline)}
\]

This produces the following output:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
Y & Y & O & O & Y & Y & Y & Y \\
Y & O & Y & O & G & Y & O & O \\
O & O & Y & Y & O & Y & O & O \\
Y & Y & O & O & O & O & Y & Y \\
O & Y & O & Y & Y & Y & Y & O \\
O & O & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y \\
Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y \\
Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y \\
O & Y & O & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y \\
Y & Y & Y & O & Y & Y & O & Y \\
Y & Y & O & O & O & O & O & O \\
O & Y & O & O & O & O & O & O
\end{array}
\]

\(\text{(bfs 5 477)}\)
\(\text{(dfs 70 95)}\)

\(^1\)Friedman, E. Hex Turn Puzzles, http://www2.stetson.edu/~efriedma/hexturn.
In the event you do not complete Problem 1, or you wish to benchmark your implementations, a complete compiled version is provided, which you may copy to a new directory:

```
$ cp -R ~weinman/courses/CSC261/code/searchc ./complete
$ drracket complete/complete.scm 
```

### 3 Lab assignment

#### Problem 1 - Writing search algorithms [40 points]

12 additional points will be allotted for testing and code formatting considerations.

**Part A [12 points]**

Implement the function `search`, as defined in `search.scm`. This is the general tree search algorithm as given above. Note that the `problem` parameter may be used with the routines given in `problem.scm`, in particular `problem-goal?` and `problem-expand-node`.

Per the specification, you will need to track expansions, a count of the number of times you call the problem’s successor function `problem-expand-node`. When you have found a node that is a solution, `search` should return

```
(list (node-extract-actions node) expansions)
```

As an example, the procedures `depth-first-search` and `uniform-cost-search` both call `search` with a specific enqueuing procedure. Both of these searches are uninformed, so we use the always-zero heuristic given above.

**Part B [4 points]**

Write the procedure `breadth-first-search` by calling your `search` routine with an appropriate enqueuing procedure. *(Hint: Follow the example of `depth-first-search`)*

**Part C [4 points]**

Write the procedure `depth-limited-search` by calling your `search` routine with an appropriate enqueuing procedure. *(Hint: Do not enqueue a node whose depth exceeds the given limit.)* The procedure `node-depth node` from `node.scm` will be helpful. Your implementation need not distinguish between cutoff and standard failures.

**Part D [8 points]**

Write and document the procedure `iterative-deepening-search` by repeatedly calling your `search` routine with an appropriate enqueuing procedure. *(Hint: Use `depth-limited-search`)*

#### Problem 2 - Analysis [40 points]

In this problem, you will do some comparative analysis of your search routines by writing a single, integrated essay. The Part A/B/C structure below is simply to help you organize your efforts.

Note that, while `random-eight-puzzle-state` calls `random` to produce their states, you should make your results repeatable by using the procedure `(random-seed seed)` to set the seed of the random number generator.

**Part A**

Generate a fairly easy eight-puzzle state and a small hex turn puzzle. Run each search algorithm on both, creating two tables (one for each problem) listing the number of nodes expanded to find a solution, and the total number of actions in the solution. Be sure to specify the specifics of each problem or how they were generated.
Part B
Generate the hardest eight-puzzle problem and largest hex turn puzzle you feel like waiting for solutions to under most (though not necessarily all) search algorithms. Run your search algorithms again, adding rows to the tables you created above. Be sure to specify the specifics of each problem or how they were generated.

Part C
Using the data you have generated, answer the following questions:

- How do the number of nodes expanded compare among the search algorithms? How does this comparison vary with problem difficulty (if at all)?
- How do the solution costs compare among the search algorithms? How does this comparison vary with problem difficulty (if at all)?

Draw some conclusions about the relative efficiency and effectiveness of these search algorithms on these problems.

Note that a complete analysis will feature coherent paragraphs, a brief introduction stating the purpose and context, as well as your overall conclusions. It should be nicely formatted and feature a logical organization, complete sentences, as well as proper grammar, spelling, and punctuation. The audience is your peers in this class; they do not know a priori what problems you examined, nor what your results or conclusions may be. Be sure to include your name, mailbox, and a title. Acknowledge any parties responsible for the search source code used for analysis.

What to turn in

Programming Assignment
Your joint submission should include the following

- Your completed search.scm file
- A short driver program that demonstrates your search algorithm implementations applied to a simple problem (i.e., one that does not take long to run).
- A single PDF containing (merged)
  - Your Scheme files
  - A transcript of your driver program’s output

A missing PDF or files in any other format will receive a zero.

Analysis
Your individual submission should be only a PDF of your analysis. Files in any other format will receive a zero.